arXiv:quant-ph/0312152v1 18 Dec 2003

Time-dependent Displaced and Squeezed Number States

Sang Pyo Kim*

Department of Physics, Kunsan National University, Kunsan 573-701, Korea

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We generalize the wave functions of the displaced and squeezed number states, found by Nieto, to a time-dependent harmonic oscillator with variable mass and frequency. These time-dependent displaced and squeezed number states are obtained by first squeezing and then displacing the exact number states and are exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation. Further, these wave functions are the time-dependent squeezed harmonic-oscillator wave functions centered at classical trajectories.

Keywords: Displaced number states, Squeezed number states, Time-dependent oscillator, Invariant operators

---

*Electronic address: sangkim@kunsan.ac.kr

Recently, Nieto found the wave functions of the displaced and squeezed number states for a static oscillator [1]. These states are an extension of the displaced (coherent) and squeezed states of the vacuum state. The coherent states, actually discovered by Schödinger [2], have been developed as a useful concept and tool in quantum optics [3]. A general class of Gaussian states beyond the vacuum state was first considered in Ref. 4, and these squeezed states were defined further in terms of the squeeze operator [5]. The displaced and squeezed states were also introduced [6], and the displaced number states, as well as the squeezed number states, were found [7]. The squeezed number states show the super-Poissonicity of photon statistics.

On the other hand, Lewis and Riesenfeld [8] introduced the invariant method to find the Fock space of exact states of time-dependent oscillators (for a review and references, see Refs. 9 and 10). Based on the invariant method, the coherent states of time-dependent oscillators were found [11, 12, 13], and the squeezed states were studied [14, 15, 16]. Through the use of the evolution in terms of su(1,1) algebra, the displaced and squeezed number states were found for a general driven time-dependent oscillator [17].

The purpose of this paper is to find the displaced and squeezed number states and their wave functions for a time-dependent oscillator with variable mass and frequency. A time-dependent oscillator also has time-dependent annihilation and creation operators, the invariant operators satisfying the quantum Liouville-von Neumann equation, whose number states are the exact solutions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation [11, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20]. We find the invariant displacement and squeeze operators that map the exact number states into the displaced and squeezed number states. For a static oscillator, we compare these wave functions with those of Ref. 1.

We consider a time-dependent oscillator with variable mass and frequency and with a Hamiltonian given by

$$\hat{H}(t) = \frac{1}{2m(t)}\hat{p}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m(t)\omega^2(t)\hat{x}^2, \tag{1}$$

and use the invariant operator method to find the Fock space of exact number states. Two linear invariant operators are introduced [11, 15, 16, 18]:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}(t) &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar}}[u^*(t)\hat{p} - m(t)\dot{u}^*(t)\hat{x}], \\ \hat{a}^\dagger(t) &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar}}[u(t)\hat{p} - m(t)\dot{u}(t)\hat{x}], \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where u is a complex solution to the classical equation of motion,

$$\ddot{u}(t) + \frac{\dot{m}(t)}{m(t)}\dot{u}(t) + \omega^2(t)u(t) = 0. \tag{3}$$

With the Wronskian condition,

$$m(t)[u(t)\dot{u}^*(t) - u^*(t)\dot{u}(t)] = i, \tag{4}$$

imposed, the operators in Eq. (2) satisfy the usual commutation relation at equal times

$$[\hat{a}(t), \hat{a}^\dagger(t)] = 1, \tag{5}$$

and play the roles of time-dependent annihilation and creation operators. As the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) has the representation

$$\hat{H}(t) = \frac{\hbar m}{2}[(\dot{u}^*\dot{u} + \omega^2 u^* u)(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger) + (\dot{u}^{*2} + \omega^2 u^{*2})\hat{a}^{\dagger 2} + (\dot{u}^2 + \omega^2 u^2)\hat{a}^2], \tag{6}$$

$\hat{a}(t)$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger(t)$ do not necessarily diagonalize the Hamiltonian. The condition for diagonalization of the Hamiltonian is given by the equation

$$\dot{u}^2(t) + \omega^2 u^2(t) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Equation (7) is exactly satisfied by $u(t) = e^{-i\omega_0 t}/\sqrt{2m_0\omega_0}$ for a static oscillator with constant m_0 and ω_0 and is approximately satisfied by the adiabatic (WKB) solution, $u(t) = e^{-i\int \omega(t)}/\sqrt{2m\omega(t)}$, for slowly varying mass and frequency. Except for these cases, the number operator $\hat{N}(t) = \hat{a}^\dagger(t)\hat{a}(t)$ does not commute with the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$. However, the number operator $\hat{N}(t)$, which is another invariant operator, still provides the exact wave functions of number states for the time-dependent Schrödinger equation [18]:

$$\Psi_n(x, u(t)) = \left(\frac{1}{2^n n!\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}\rho}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\Theta(n+1/2)} H_n\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2\hbar}\rho}\right) \exp\left[\frac{im\dot{u}^*}{2\hbar u^*}x^2\right], \tag{8}$$

where $u(t) = \rho(t)e^{-i\Theta(t)}$.

As Eq. (3) is linear, the most general complex solution can take the form

$$u_\nu(t) = \mu u_0(t) + \nu u_0^*(t), \tag{9}$$

where

$$\mu = \cosh r, \quad \nu = e^{-i\phi}\sinh r, \tag{10}$$

and $u_0(t)$ is some preferred complex solution that satisfies Eq. (4). The preferred solution may be chosen based on, for instance, the minimum uncertainty or energy expectation value [15, 16]. Here, we have fixed the overall phase of Eq. (10) since the wave functions in Eq. (8) do not depend on it. In fact, ν (or the two real constants, r and ϕ) is the squeezing parameter of the Bogoliubov transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_\nu(t) &= (\cosh r)\hat{a}_0(t) - (e^{i\phi}\sinh r)\hat{a}_0^\dagger(t), \\ \hat{a}_\nu^\dagger(t) &= (\cosh r)\hat{a}_0^\dagger(t) - (e^{-i\phi}\sinh r)\hat{a}_0(t), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\hat{a}_\nu(t), \hat{a}_\nu^\dagger(t)$, and $\hat{a}_0(t), \hat{a}_0^\dagger(t)$ are the operators obtained by substituting $u_\nu(t)$ and $u_0(t)$, respectively, into Eq. (2). Note that the subscript 0 in this paper denotes the zero squeezing parameter instead of a static oscillator with constant frequency and mass. In terms of the squeeze operator [5]

$$\hat{S}(\nu, t) = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(\nu e^{i\pi})\hat{a}_0^{\dagger 2}(t) - \frac{1}{2}(\nu e^{i\pi})^*\hat{a}_0^2(t)\right], \tag{12}$$

the Bogoliubov transformation is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_\nu(t) &= \hat{S}(\nu, t)\ \hat{a}_0(t)\ \hat{S}^\dagger(\nu, t), \\ \hat{a}_\nu^\dagger(t) &= \hat{S}(\nu, t)\ \hat{a}_0^\dagger(t)\ \hat{S}^\dagger(\nu, t). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The number operators $\hat{N}_0(t) = \hat{a}_0^\dagger(t)\hat{a}_0(t)$ and $\hat{N}_\nu(t) = \hat{a}_\nu^\dagger(t)\hat{a}_\nu(t)$ do not, in general, commute with each other,

$$[\hat{N}_\nu(t), \hat{N}_0(t)] = \sinh 2r[e^{i\phi}\hat{a}_0^{\dagger 2}(t) - e^{-i\phi}\hat{a}_0^2(t)], \tag{14}$$

though they commute only for the trivial case of zero squeezing ($r = 0$). Nevertheless, they, being invariant operators, lead to the exact number states for the oscillator in Eq. (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}_0(t)|n, t\rangle &= n|n, t\rangle, \\ \hat{N}_\nu(t)|\nu, n, t\rangle &= n|\nu, n, t\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and to their wave functions

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x|n, t\rangle &= \Psi_n(x, u_0(t)), \\ \langle x|\nu, n, t\rangle &= \Psi_n(x, u_\nu(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Here $\Psi_n(x, u_0(t))$ and $\Psi_n(x, u_\nu(t))$ are the wave functions in Eq. (8) obtained by using $u_0(t)$ and $u_\nu(t)$, respectively. Due to the unitary transformation in Eq. (13), the ν-dependent number state is a squeezed state,

$$|\nu, n, t\rangle = \hat{S}(\nu, t)|n, t\rangle. \tag{17}$$

The wave function $\Psi_n(x, u_\nu(t))$ of Eq. (17) is an exact solution for the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), which belongs to the wave functions in Eq. (8). In an other way, we can directly show that any invariant operator $\hat{O}(t)$ maps an exact state $|\Psi_0(t)\rangle$ to another state $\hat{O}(t)|\Psi_0(t)\rangle$. In fact, the quantum Liouville-von Neumann equation,

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{O}(t) + [\hat{O}(t), \hat{H}(t)] = 0, \tag{18}$$

leads to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(\hat{O}(t)|\Psi_0(t)\rangle\Big) = \hat{H}(t)\Big(\hat{O}(t)|\Psi_0(t)\rangle\Big) \tag{19}$$

whenever $|\Psi_0(t)\rangle$ is a solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. Note that the squeeze operator in Eq. (12) is an invariant operator since it is a functional of the invariant operators $\hat{a}_0(t)$ and $\hat{a}_0^\dagger(t)$. Thus, $\hat{S}(\nu, t)$ generates the exact state $|\nu, n, t\rangle$ out of the number state $|n, t\rangle$, which is already an exact one.

As for the case of a time-independent oscillator [5], the displaced (coherent) state is an eigenstate of the time-dependent annihilation operator [12, 16],

$$\hat{a}_\nu(t)|\alpha, \nu, t\rangle = \alpha|\alpha, \nu, t\rangle, \tag{20}$$

for any complex constant α, and has the representation

$$|\alpha, \nu, t\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|\nu, n, t\rangle. \tag{21}$$

The time-dependent displaced state in Eq. (21) is an exact quantum state of the Schrödinger equation for the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) since each $|\nu, n, t\rangle$ is a solution to the Schrödinger equation. In another formulation, we introduce the time-dependent displacement operator

$$\hat{D}(\alpha, t) = e^{\alpha\hat{a}_\nu^\dagger(t) - \alpha^*\hat{a}_\nu(t)}, \tag{22}$$

which is a functional of the invariant operators $\hat{a}_0(t)$ and $\hat{a}_0^\dagger(t)$ and, thus, is an invariant operator. If the operator in Eq. (22) operates on the squeezed number state in Eq. (17), we obtain the displaced and squeezed number state

$$|\alpha, \nu, n, t\rangle = \hat{D}(\alpha, t)|\nu, n, t\rangle = \hat{D}(\alpha, t)\hat{S}(\nu, t)|n, t\rangle. \tag{23}$$

Using the relations

$$\hat{D}(\alpha,t)\ \hat{a}_\nu(t)\ \hat{D}^\dagger(\alpha,t) = \hat{a}_\nu(t) - \alpha,$$
$$\hat{D}(\alpha,t)\hat{a}_\nu^\dagger(t)\hat{D}^\dagger(\alpha,t) = \hat{a}_\nu^\dagger(t) - \alpha^*, \tag{24}$$

we get another representation

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha,\nu,n,t\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}[\hat{a}_\nu(t) - \alpha^*]^n|\alpha,\nu,t\rangle \\ &= \frac{e^{-|\alpha|^2}}{\sqrt{n!}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\alpha^k}{\sqrt{k!}}[\hat{a}_\nu(t) - \alpha^*]^n|\nu,k,t\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Being a sum of exact number states, the displaced and squeezed number state in Eq. (23) or (25) is another exact quantum state of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. In an other way, $\hat{D}(\alpha,t)\hat{S}(\nu,t)$, a product of invariant operators, is still another invariant operator and, thus, maps the exact number state $|n,t\rangle$ into the displaced and squeezed number state $|\alpha,\nu,n,t\rangle$, which, according to Eq. (19), is an exact quantum state of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1).

The displaced and squeezed number state has the expectation values

$$\begin{aligned} x_c(t) &= \langle\alpha,\nu,n,t|\hat{x}|\alpha,\nu,n,t\rangle = \sqrt{\hbar}[\alpha u_\nu(t) + \alpha^* u_\nu^*(t)], \\ p_c(t) &= \langle\alpha,\nu,n,t|\hat{p}|\alpha,\nu,n,t\rangle = \sqrt{\hbar}m(t)[\alpha\dot{u}_\nu(t) + \alpha^*\dot{u}_\nu^*(t)], \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\alpha,\nu,n,t|\hat{x}^2|\alpha,\nu,n,t\rangle &= \hbar u_\nu^* u_\nu(2n+1) + x_c^2, \\ \langle\alpha,\nu,n,t|\hat{p}^2|\alpha,\nu,n,t\rangle &= \hbar m^2 \dot{u}_\nu^*\dot{u}_\nu(2n+1) + p_c^2. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Note that $p_c(t) = m(t)\dot{x}_c(t)$, and that $x_c(t)$ obeys the classical equation of motion in Eq. (3). Inverting Eq. (26) for

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar}}[u_\nu^*(t)p_c(t) - m(t)\dot{u}_\nu^*(t)x_c(t)], \\ \alpha^* &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{\hbar}}[u_\nu(t)p_c(t) - m(t)\dot{u}_\nu(t)x_c(t)], \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

and using Eq. (24), we finally obtain the wave function for the displaced and squeezed number state:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n(x,x_c,p_c,u_\nu(t)) = &\left(\frac{1}{2^n n!\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}\rho_\nu}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\Theta_\nu(n+1/2)}e^{ip_c x/\hbar} \\ &\times H_n\left(\frac{x-x_c}{\sqrt{2\hbar}\rho_\nu}\right)\exp\left[\frac{im\dot{u}_\nu^*}{2\hbar u_\nu^*}(x-x_c)^2\right], \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where $u_\nu(t) = \rho_\nu(t) e^{-i\Theta_\nu(t)}$. Thus, the wave functions in Eq. (29) are, up to a phase factor $e^{ip_c x/\hbar}$, the wave functions in Eq. (8) with the center shifted to the classical trajectory $x_c(t)$.

Finally, we compare the wave functions in Eq. (29) of the displaced and squeezed number states for a time-dependent oscillator with those of Ref. 1 for a static oscillator. The static oscillator has a constant mass m_0 and a frequency ω_0. We may then choose

$$u_0(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m_0\omega_0}} e^{-i\omega_0 t}, \tag{30}$$

as the preferred solution to Eq. (3). If $u_0(t)$ is inserted into Eq. (8), it leads to the harmonic-oscillator wave functions. Now, the displaced state with the most general solution, Eq. (9), has the expectation value

$$\begin{aligned} x_c(t) &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m_0\omega_0}} \Big[(\alpha \cosh r - \alpha^* e^{i\phi} \sinh r) e^{-i\omega_0 t} + (\alpha^* \cosh r - \alpha e^{-i\phi} \sinh r) e^{i\omega_0 t} \Big] \\ &\equiv x_0 \cos \omega_0 t + \frac{p_0}{m_0 \omega_0} \sin \omega_0 t, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

and $p_c(t) = m_0 \dot{x}_c(t)$. The classical trajectory has two integration constants: $x_c(0) = x_0$ and $p_c(0) = p_0$. Then, the wave function in Eq. (29) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n(\nu, x_c, p_c, x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \left(\frac{A_\nu}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{1/2} & e^{-i\Theta_\nu(n+1/2)} e^{ip_c x/\hbar} \\ & \times H_n(A_\nu(x - x_c)) e^{-B_\nu(x-x_c)^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_\nu(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar u_\nu^* u_\nu}} = \sqrt{\frac{m_0\omega_0}{\hbar}} \frac{1}{[\cosh 2r + \sinh 2r \cos(2\omega_0 t - \phi)]^{1/2}}, \\ B_\nu(t) &= \frac{im\dot{u}_\nu^*}{2\hbar u_\nu^*} = \frac{m_0\omega_0}{2\hbar} \left[\frac{\cosh r e^{i\omega_0 t} - e^{i\phi} \sinh r e^{-i\omega_0 t}}{\cosh r e^{i\omega_0 t} + e^{i\phi} \sinh r e^{-i\omega_0 t}} \right], \\ e^{-i\Theta_\nu(t)} &= \left[\frac{\cosh r e^{-i\omega_0 t} + e^{-i\phi} \sinh r e^{i\omega_0 t}}{\cosh r e^{i\omega_0 t} + e^{i\phi} \sinh r e^{-i\omega_0 t}} \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

We can show, for the convention, $m_0 = \omega_0 = \hbar = 1$, that

$$A_\nu(0) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_4}, \quad B_\nu(0) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{2}, \quad e^{-i\Theta_\nu(0)} = \mathcal{F}_3^{1/2}, \tag{34}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$'s in Eqs. (21)-(24) of Ref. 1 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2 &= \frac{1 - i \sin\phi \sinh r (\cosh r + e^{i\phi} \sinh r)}{(\cosh r + \cos\phi \sinh r)(\cosh r + e^{i\phi} \sinh r)}, \\ \mathcal{F}_3 &= \frac{\cosh r + e^{-i\phi} \sinh r}{\cosh r + e^{i\phi} \sinh r}, \\ \mathcal{F}_4 &= (\cosh^2 r + \sinh^2 r + 2\cos\phi \cosh r \sinh r)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Thus, the wave functions in Eq. (20) of Ref. 1 for the static oscillator are special cases of the wave functions in Eq. (32) at $t = 0$. Similarly, we show that

$$A_\nu(t) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_4 B A^{1/2}}, \quad B_\nu(t) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_2 \cos t + i \sin t}{2(\cos t + i\mathcal{F}_2 \sin t)}, \quad e^{-i\Theta_\nu(t)} = (\mathcal{F}_3 A)^{1/2}, \tag{36}$$

where A in Eq. (46) and B in Eq. (47) of Ref. 1 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{\mathcal{F}_4^2(\cos t + i\mathcal{F}_2 \sin t) - 2i \sin t}{\mathcal{F}_4^2(\cos t + i\mathcal{F}_2 \sin t)}, \\ B &= \cos t + i\mathcal{F}_2 \sin t. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Hence, the wave functions in Eq. (32) of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation are the same as Eq. (45) of Ref. 1 for the time-evolved displaced and squeezed states.

In summary, using the invariant method, we obtained the displaced (coherent) and squeezed number states and their wave functions for a time-dependent oscillator. These states for the time-dependent oscillator are an extension of the wave functions found by Nieto for a static oscillator. The wave functions for the displaced and squeezed number states are found to be the squeezed harmonic-oscillator wave functions centered around a classical trajectory.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation under grant No. KRF-2002-041-C00053.

[1] M. M. Nieto, Phys. Lett. A **229**, 135 (1997).

[2] E. Schrödinger, Naturwiss. **14**, 664 (1926).

[3] R. J. Glauber, Phys. Rev. **130**, 2529 (1963); J. R. Klauder, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 1055 (1963); E. C. G. Sudarshan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10**, 277 (1963).

[4] E. H. Kennard, Z. Phys. **44**, 326 (1927).

[5] D. Stoler, Phys. Rev. D **1**, 3217 (1970); E. Y. C. Lu, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **3**, 585 (1972); H. P. Yuen, Phys. Rev. A **13**, 2226 (1976); J. N. Hollenhorst, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 1669 (1979); C. M. Caves, *ibid.* **23**, 1693 (1981).

[6] S. M. Roy and V. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 3413 (1982); M. V. Satyanarayana, *ibid.* **32**, 400 (1985).

[7] M. S. Kim, F. A. M. de Oliveira, and P. L. Knight, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 2494 (1989); F. A. M. de Oliveira, M. S. Kim, P. L. Knight, and V. Bužek, *ibid.* **41**, 2645 (1990).

[8] H. R. Lewis, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. **27**, 510 (1967); H. R. Lewis, Jr., and W. B. Riesenfeld, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1458 (1969).

[9] A. Mostafazadeh, *Dynamical Invariants, Adiabatic Approximation, and the Geometric Phase* (Nova Science Pub., New York, 2001).

[10] C-I. Um, K-H. Yeon, and T. F. George, Phys. Rep. **362**, 63 (2002); C-I. Um and K-H. Yeon, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **41**, 594 (2002).

[11] I. A. Malkin, V. I. Man'ko, and D. A. Trifnov, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1371 (1970); V. V. Dodonov and V. I. Man'ko, Phys. Rev. A **20**, 550 (1979).

[12] J. G. Hartley and J. R. Ray, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 382 (1982); J. R. Ray, *ibid.* **25**, 3417 (1982); A. K. Rajagopal and J. T. Marshall, Phys. Rev. A **26**, 2977 (1982); I. A. Pedrosa, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 1279 (1987).

[13] S. P. Kim and C. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 125020 (2000); S. P. Kim, J.-Y. Ji, H.-S. Shin, and K.-S. Soh, *ibid.* **56**, 3756 (1997); K. H. Cho, J. Y. Ji, S. P. Kim, C. H. Lee, and J.Y. Ryu, *ibid.* **56**, 4916 (1997).

[14] J. Aliaga, G. Crespo, and A. N. Proto, Phys. Rev. A **42**, 4325 (1990); *ibid.* **43**, 595 (1990).

[15] J. K. Kim and S. P. Kim, J. Phys. A **32**, 2711 (1999).

[16] S. P. Kim, J. Phys. A **36**, 12089 (2003).

[17] C. F. Lo, Phys. Rev. A **43**, 404 (1991).

[18] S. P. Kim and D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 012104 (2001).

[19] S. P. Kim, S. Sengupta, and F. C. Khanna, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 105026 (2001); S. P. Kim and C. H. Lee, *ibid.* **65**, 045013 (2002); S. Sengupta, F. C. Khanna, and S. P. Kim, *ibid.* **68**, 105014 (2003).

[20] S. P. Kim, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **41**, 643 (2002); **43**, 11 (2003).